

A Collective Vision for Agriculture Climate Services in the Asia–Pacific Region

Juhyeong Han, Inyoung Jang, Daein Kang, Minju Baek, Hideki Kanamaru, and Kwang-Hyung Kim

FAO Asia–Pacific Agriculture Climate Service Week

What: Around 270 participants from national agriculture, hydrological, and meteorological services; nongovernmental organizations; the private sector; and research institutions gathered to take stock of progress since the first Climate Services Week; to explore and highlight innovations in climate services that support transformative agri-food systems; to promote knowledge exchange, collaboration, and partnerships; and to develop a collective vision for agriculture climate services in the Asia–Pacific region.

When: 30 November–3 December and 7 December 2021

Where: Online

KEYWORDS: Climate services

<https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-22-0100.1>

Corresponding authors: Kwang-Hyung Kim, sospicy77@snu.ac.kr; Hideki Kanamaru, hideki.kanamaru@fao.org

In final form 6 May 2022

©2022 American Meteorological Society

For information regarding reuse of this content and general copyright information, consult the [AMS Copyright Policy](#).

AFFILIATIONS: Han,* Kang, Baek, and Kim—Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea; Jang* and Kanamaru—Regional Office of Asia and the Pacific, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Bangkok, Thailand

*Juhyeong Han and Inyoung Jang are co-first authors.

The second Asia–Pacific Agriculture Climate Services Week is a successive workshop to the first Asia–Pacific Agriculture Climate Services Week held in July 2019. This conference convened many participants working in diverse sectors including agriculture, meteorology, hydrology, disaster risk management, and anticipatory action to promote knowledge exchange and cross-sectoral cooperation. The Week explored opportunities and challenges at the local, national, and regional level as well as innovations across involved sectors. The outcome will facilitate development of the collective roadmap for agriculture climate services (ACS) in the region. The theme of each day makes up each section of this paper, and pivotal ideas among what was discussed are stated.

Evidence-based climate resilient agriculture planning and investments

Climate services are crucial for robust decision-making and long-term investments for climate change adaptation. The uncertainty induced by climate change brought a paradigm shift in climate projection practices from a more deterministic (top-down) to project-based decision-making (bottom-up), which allows quantification of the uncertainty, thus allowing decision-making with less regret. Adaptive management and robust decision-making in project design help manage climate risks and uncertainty. Furthermore, the importance of science-based climate information was highlighted as evidence for Green Climate Fund (GCF) project investments. Climate information needs to be clearly linked with climate mitigation and adaptation benefits of a project. High-resolution data collection and management would improve evidence-based foresight planning in the agriculture sector.

A couple of country examples of utilizing climate services in long-term planning were introduced to highlight challenges and opportunities. First, in the process of formulating the Long-Term Strategy for Carbon Neutrality (LTS4CN) in Cambodia using climate data, challenges included the collection and use of optimal climate data as evidence for long-term policy development in agriculture, as well as inter-ministerial collaboration. To address the issues, the next Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) Expert Tool has supported the government with the planning, implementation, and evaluation of future climate policies. The forestry and other land use sector scenario was also introduced as a framework of long-term strategy building. Similarly, in Laos, improving adaptive capacity in agriculture is the key long-term climate action strategy. It was highlighted that the scientific evidence-based approach can develop tailored adaptation actions. Combining adaptive capacity data and disaggregated livelihood zones can help policymakers in identifying geographical focus areas and appropriate interventions.

At the village level, blending scientific and technical expertise with local knowledge and user-centered approaches have been common suggestions to improve climate services. Tailoring climate services to farmers' needs by producing actionable information for specific locations was discussed to increase farmers' confidence in the services. However, upscaling from successful village-level pilot cases such as the Climate Smart Village case study in Telangana, India, has been challenging due to the incoherence with the existing national climate change

adaptation plans. There is a need to utilize climate data and information, such as climate hazards and impacts, to develop local-level long-term adaptation solutions as well as short-term planning. For instance, the Strengthening Agro-Climatic Monitoring and Information System (SAMIS) project and the Participatory Forest and Agriculture Land Use Planning tool help enhance the climate change adaptation capacity of Laos and farmers' access to more tailored scientific information through the use of systematic foresight planning and village-level long-term projections.

In conclusion, climate services are a powerful tool to support long-term decision-making on various spatial scales and increase the adaptive capacity of agri-food systems to climate change. However, direct uses of climate data in foresight planning for policies remain a critical challenge at multiple levels. It is necessary to understand the stochastic characteristics of different datasets from their inherent uncertainty, so as to support farmers, agriculture extension services, and supporting agencies to use the information wisely.

Agrometeorological services to address multiple hazards

Agromet services are a decision aid service, derived from climate information, that assists agricultural stakeholders to make improved ex ante decisions. Tailored climate information products in the agromet services can be used to improve and/or protect the livelihood of farmers in agricultural production by minimizing the impacts of adverse weather. To enable scale-up and sustainable operation of agromet services, innovative business models and economic valuation are crucial. For example, AgroClimate combines various climate information with customized decision aid tools to provide agromet services for farmers. To overcome limited funding that hinders the sustainable operation, farmers and group subscription and web services to third parties are presented. Public-private partnership (PPP) was also suggested as an innovative solution. Working together with the government and nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) through PPP can help reduce or waive service costs, increase accessibility, and give more opportunities for education and training to farmers and financial and technical support from the private sectors.

Economic valuation of agromet services is becoming increasingly more important for agricultural decision-making and continued investments. A valuation framework is thus crucial to incorporate the forecast uncertainty and the potential value of climate forecast information. This can lead to increased adoption of the agromet services by farmers. In other words, economic valuation is an important tool to translate and deliver climate information to users. It can also help address usability gaps in agromet services. The case study of Bangladesh was presented as an example to share the finding that farmers are more willing to pay for the climate services when they are more actively engaged in the Farmers' Field School. Thus, tailor-made services and co-creation processes through two-way communication are needed to reduce the usability gaps and to inform the socioeconomic value of agromet services.

To improve the dissemination of climate services to the last-mile farmers and agri-food value chain actors in the region, several novel delivery mechanisms and key lessons learned were explored. First, co-production of tailored agromet advisories can help reduce gaps between services and actual demands, with the use of multiple accessible channels such as information and communications technology (ICT) tools and farmers' field schools. Second, it is important to engage the broader climate service value chain actors, including producers, translators, and users, to address last-mile challenges. However, there is still a weak collaboration among different institutions—agricultural, hydrological, and meteorological sectors and other local partners. To further improve delivery mechanisms, gaining farmers' trust in information is needed by aligning the information with their on-the-ground experiences and impacts; translating climate data into actionable information for farmers was recommended. Strong and purposeful bottom-up engagement using local language

(e.g., through street plays) has proven to be highly effective for improving accessibility and connectivity to the last-mile users.

Early warning system and anticipatory action to transform the management of climate extremes/disasters

Early warning systems (EWSs) and anticipatory action (AA) are an integral part of climate services. Technological advances in climate services have enabled us to better predict climate hazards and act early before disasters arrive. It was emphasized that EWSs and AA should be integrated into disaster risk reduction, preparedness, investments, and policy decisions in climate action. The AA approach helps better estimate climate impacts on agriculture and helps identify efficient ways to lessen vulnerabilities.

A critical reflection on how far we have come with predicting climate crises and what we still need to achieve were discussed. Lack of historical data and limited forecast skills were commonly pointed out as major challenges. Contextualization of EWSs was recommended as the way forward. To do so, taking into account multiple layers of risk is important. For instance, EWSs need to consider complex interactions of risks in the case of Afghanistan, where conflict, food insecurity, and severe drought form a nexus of a complex emergency. Besides, more localized and downscaled forecast information is needed. Combining scientific forecasting models with the experiences of people can also help achieve contextualized EWSs and AA. Engaging with users is thus crucial in order to understand what people want, why, and how they can act based on the forecast. This will help to design a more accurate trigger system and appropriate anticipatory actions. Several speakers further highlighted real-time and impact-based forecasting as a useful tool to monitor hazards and trigger timely anticipatory actions. In addition, establishing an ecosystem for risk management is needed to integrate vulnerability risk assessment, forward-looking impact analysis, climate change risk analysis, and identification of hotspots. Risk assessment from both hazard and vulnerability perspectives can better inform policymakers of risk areas and pressing needs.

In the second panel discussion, various meteorological and forecasting agencies shared good practices and innovations for the design and implementation of multihazard and impact-based EWSs. For instance, seasonal tropical cyclone outlooks are shared during the Pacific Islands Climate Outlook Forum (PICOF) that takes place twice a year to communicate the forecast information. Following the Forum, national met services inform key stakeholders about local impacts in the coming months. Similarly, the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) Climate Outlook Forum (ASEANCOF) also facilitates experts to share information and knowledge for the upcoming season with traceable, reproducible, and well-documented forecasting procedures. For improvement, more tailored early warning products and regular updates were suggested.

Further taking stock of the progress in early warning and forecasting technology, increased forecast time scales, better spatial resolution and lead times, increased use of ICT with GIS-based web portals, and information-based analytics were highlighted. The role of satellite-based data to supplement ground-based data was also emphasized throughout the session. Improved decision support systems have not only allowed policymakers to pinpoint affected regions and decide what to do, but also let users and communities avoid hazards. Dissemination of early warning messages to the last mile was improved through mobile applications and social media through PPP.

On the other hand, despite advances in early warning and forecasting technology, communication gaps remain between technical experts and users on the ground. Thus, the importance of establishing more robust linkages between the impact and climate drivers in forecasting was echoed throughout the session not only to improve decision-making but

also to enhance communication with users. User engagement is further required to provide localized and context-specific prediction for climate hazards.

Beyond crops: Climate services for all subsectors and value chains

Climate services can contribute to climate change adaptation of all subsectors in agriculture and across agri-food value chains in the Asia–Pacific region experiencing more frequent and intense climate hazards. Climate services can play an important role in post-harvesting stages of value chains through providing short-term forecasts, advisories, and long-term structural interventions. However, access to climate services are currently rather limited for small-scale producers and value chain actors due to lack of coordination and investments. Therefore, mainstreaming climate change discussion, including climate services across agri-food value chains, is strongly recommended. Five priorities for climate services are as follows: incorporating climate change into assessment, managing environmental risks in both short-term and long-term through adaptation and forecasts, testing adaptive capacity with models, improving data collection skills and reducing costs, and providing information faster. The optimal time frame for forecasts to target is weeks to months (subseasonal to seasonal) so that businesses can apply changes and plan ahead to minimize the impact of climate risks.

The agriculture subsector discussions were divided into two parallel sessions. In the first parallel session, practitioners in the forestry and livestock sectors discussed the potential of climate services to make the sectors more resilient to climate risks. Capacity building to raise awareness and tailored services through engaging with users were commonly highlighted to improve climate services in the sector. High-demand services include species distribution maps according to different climate scenarios that show ideal plant species, emergency wildfire EWSs, and information on bioenergy consumption to understand the demand. Technology development as well as user-friendly approaches were emphasized to improve climate services. Although the forestry sector is more dependent on the government service providers due to the poor accessibility and connectivity, this can also be an opportunity to integrate climate services for forestry to a national-level action plan. Forestry practitioners recommended developing climate services to make it affordable, output-oriented, accessible, and adaptive to feedback. The livestock sector is also prone to changing climate and extreme weather events. For example, poultry is susceptible to heat due to the absence of sweat glands, and the economic loss could be considerable. Therefore, climate services can help minimize the impact of heat stress through informed uses of cooling systems, alarm systems, nutrition and functional additives, and genetic selection.

In the second parallel section, what type of climate services can be useful in the fisheries and aquaculture sectors and agri-food value chains beyond production were explored. Climate change has even harsher impacts on small-scale fisherfolks. Appropriate uses of ICT such as mobile apps and Short Message Service (SMS) are proved to be efficient in delivering climate services targeted to artisanal fishers. For the older generation, however, traditional means such as radio can be more easily adopted. Additionally, artisanal fisheries and coastal communities generally use feature phones rather than smartphones and have limited access to data and the Internet. Considering this context, services to provide location-based weather forecasts through SMS services were adapted by ISDApp in the Philippines. Beyond production, climate services can also be applied and integrated into different steps of agri-food value chains to better manage climate and weather risks.

In a separate session, plant health problems, which are one of the most significant impacts of climate change on crops, were discussed. To prevent and mitigate plant pests, appropriate legislation and management, phytosanitary regulations, and research and analyses against ongoing and projected pest risks were urged. The emphasis was on the importance of communication with neighboring farmers and stakeholders as part of key solutions.

Cross-cutting issues and the way forward

The workshop was closed with sharing comprehensive ideas on the way forward to advance climate services in the agriculture sector in Asia and the Pacific. First, the result of Koronivia Joint Work on Agriculture (KJWA) during the 26th UN Climate Change Conference of the Parties (COP26) was reflected. In line with the KJWA discussions, climate services are an important tool for decision-making in agriculture as well as for EWSs in the region. It highlighted the role of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations Climate Resilience Network (ASEAN-CRN) to translate scientific information into policies and increase the accessibility of climate services to users in the region.

To strengthen climate services for agriculture in the context of Pacific countries, limited data availability, workforce, technology, and institutional capacities remain challenges to overcome to enhance climate services. In addition, insufficient governance and coordination among stakeholders as well as lack of general awareness are also hindering factors. The key strategy for the Pacific is to facilitate collaboration and coordination between different experts as well as between other countries beyond the Pacific region. Specifically, efforts to link hydrometeorological information with an agricultural perspective are still required. It is necessary to improve 7-day and subseasonal quantitative forecasts. Also, connecting meteorological indices to agricultural parameters would be beneficial when the experts disseminate the climate services and crop advisories to users. Sharing forecast uncertainties among stakeholders should also be included.

In the regional context, developing a regional-specific framework to advance ACS was discussed. The WMO Regional Office for Asia and the Southwest Pacific recommended four focus areas for the framework: weather, climate, and crop data collection at the local level; localized interpretation of global frameworks; use of weather and climate information at the local level; and improved communication with the end-users. WMO has set the Global Basic Observing Network (GBON) to improve the quality and availability of most surface-based data that used to be done in local stations. Expertise combined by WMO and FAO has the potential to contribute to the joint collaboration of the local-level key stakeholders.

The session was followed by three breakout group discussions on different topics. Group 1 talked about developing credible ACS. Free data access and memorandums of understanding (MOUs) were suggested to facilitate the cooperation to reduce or waive the costs. In addition, promoting communication between users and producers and cultivating more experts in relevant disciplines were recommended to promote cross-sectoral collaboration and help develop reliable ACS. For capacity building, the need for education was echoed by several participants, not only university students but also farmers and local extension workers. For instance, field schools at the local level can be a helpful means to build local adaptive capacity.

Group 2 discussed the sustainable financing of ACS. Improving the high accuracy of the ACS was suggested to build trust in the services. Issuing forecasts and advisories on a regular basis were also recommended to appeal to users. Additionally, promoting success cases through pilots and innovative partnerships with civil society organizations was also suggested to attract finance from the public and private sectors. Integrating ACS into nationally determined contribution (NDC) priorities and commitments in line with the Paris Agreement was also recommended for sustainable financing.

Group 3 discussion was on leaving no one behind, harnessing technology advancements, and reducing the science gap. To scale up the successful approaches to ensure ACS reach the last mile, climate field schools and common hubs were suggested to consolidate the efforts. Integrating traditional knowledge as well as local language into the design of climate services was also considered important. Similarly, social groups such as religious affiliations can be utilized as intermediaries to disseminate climate information.

Regional technical working groups (TWG) need to be established to organize regular workshops sharing opportunities for successful cases and lessons learned with others and collaboration activities in regions. Regional TWG will be beneficial in reducing the science gap between countries by optimizing technology advancements through peer learning and sharing expertise in ACS. In addition, capacity building at multiple levels was put forward as recommended priority for efficient and effective delivery of ACS. Last, PPP can reduce or waive costs by combining resources from both public and private sectors and therefore boost its effectiveness.

Key takeaways

- 1) Climate services are crucial in supporting robust decision-making of long-term climate action policies and investments in the agriculture sector.
- 2) Cross-sectoral coordination and data sharing between different institutions and other key stakeholders can enhance the supply side of capacity for climate services.
- 3) User engagement through a bottom-up approach is highly recommended to improve climate services and to reach the last-mile users. Enhanced communication with users can ensure the collection of context-specific information as well as effective dissemination of forecast information.
- 4) Impact-based forecasting and economic valuation are important tools to communicate climate information to users for their decision-making.
- 5) Innovative partnerships and collaboration among the government, private sectors, and NGOs can strengthen agriculture climate services by facilitating sustainable financing and providing more capacity building opportunities to the farmers and other agri-food value chain actors.

Acknowledgments. We thank all the workshop participants for their contribution to this workshop. We would also like to thank Cornelis Swaans for his helpful comments and checking the accuracy of this meeting summary.